

ORDER :

*It appears that
defendants have served
discovery responses. This
motion is therefore
denied as moot.*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT NASHVILLE**

**RECEIVED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE**

DEC 19 2013

**U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MID. DIST. TENN.**

CORDELL D. SYKES,

Plaintiff,

V.

**KEVIN JOHNSON,
ADRIEL RIKER**

Defendants.

CASE No. 3:12-CV-00017

Judge Sharp/Byant

**MOTION FOR AN ORDER COMPELLING DISCOVERY
REQUESTS**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Cordell D. Sykes, Pro se, and pursuant to Rule 37(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures, moves this Court for an order to compel the defendants to answer fully under oath, the interrogatory requests which has been submitted for their response. Copies of the interrogatories which have been submitted are attached as Exhibit A. The Plaintiff has submitted these interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on October 31, 2013. In addition, Plaintiff sent a letter to the Defendant's attorney, Thomas B. Russell, on December 2, 2013, seeking a timely response to the interrogatories (Exhibit B) but has yet to receive any response.

Plaintiff further asserts that it would be wholly unfair if the Defendants were not to respond to his interrogatory requests. The Petitioner is relying on the rules pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in order to obtain more information regarding his